

Decomposition Theorem for Perverse sheaves on Artin stacks over finite fields

Shenghao Sun

Abstract

We generalize the decomposition theorem for perverse sheaves to Artin stacks with affine stabilizers over finite fields.

1 Introduction

Among the most important theorems on the topology of (families of) projective complex manifolds, there are the hard Lefschetz theorem, degeneration of the Leray spectral sequence at E_2 , and Deligne's semisimplicity theorem of cohomology local systems. They all fail for algebraic varieties with singularities, but if we replace the ordinary cohomology groups with the intersection cohomology groups, introduced by Goresky and Mac Pherson, these results turn out to hold, and what lies in the center of the story is the notion of *perverse sheaves* and the *decomposition theorem* for them, first proved by Beilinson, Bernstein, Deligne and Gabber [1]. For more discussion on these, see [3].

Then the notion of perverse sheaves was generalized to spaces with group actions (the so-called *equivariant perverse sheaves*), and then more generally, to algebraic stacks [5]. The key observation here is that perverse sheaves can be glued with respect to the *smooth topology*. It is interesting to know if the decomposition theorem generalizes. The case for equivariant perverse sheaves has been proved in ([2], 5.3). Let us remark here that for algebraic stacks, it does not follow directly from the case for algebraic varieties via the proper base change, because the decomposability of a complex of sheaves is *not* local for the smooth topology, as the following counter-example of Drinfeld shows.

Let E be a complex elliptic curve, and let $f : \text{pt} = \text{Spec } \mathbb{C} \rightarrow BE$ be the natural projection; this is a representable proper smooth map. A perverse sheaf on BE is the same as a lisse sheaf (which turns out to be constant), appropriately shifted. There is a natural non-zero morphism $\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE} \rightarrow Rf_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}$, adjoint to the isomorphism $f^*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE} \simeq \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}$, but there is no non-zero morphism in the other direction, because

$$\text{Hom}(Rf_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}) = \text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}, f^!\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}) = \text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}[2]) = 0.$$

Here the Hom 's are taken in the derived categories. Similarly, the non-zero natural map $Rf_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}} \rightarrow R^2f_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}[-2] = \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}[-2]$ lies in

$$\text{Hom}(Rf_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}[-2]) = \text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}, f^!\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}[-2]) = \text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}, \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}) = \mathbb{C},$$

but the Hom set in the other direction is zero:

$$\text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}[-2], Rf_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}) = \text{Hom}(f^*\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{BE}[-2], \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}) = \text{Hom}(\underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}[-2], \underline{\mathbb{C}}_{\text{pt}}) = 0.$$

Therefore, $Rf_*\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ is not semi-simple (since it is not a direct sum of the $(R^if_*\underline{\mathbb{C}})[-i]$'s). The same argument applies to finite fields, with $\underline{\mathbb{C}}$ replaced by $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$.

Remark 1.1. This example was first given by Drinfeld, who asked for the reason of the failure of the usual argument for schemes. Later, it was communicated by J. Bernstein to Y. Varshavsky, who asked M. Olsson in an email correspondence. Olsson kindly shared this email with me, and explained to me that the reason is the failure of the upper bound of weights in [4] for BE .

In the following we explain why the usual proof (as in [1]) fails for f . The proof of the decomposition theorem over $\mathbb{C}$ relies on the decomposition theorem over finite fields (*loc. cit.*, 5.3.8, 5.4.5), so it suffices to explain why the proof of (*loc. cit.*, 5.4.5) fails for $f : \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q \rightarrow BE$, for an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -elliptic curve E .

Let $K_0 = Rf_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. The perverse t -structure agrees with the standard t -structure on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$, and by definition ([5], 4), we have ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_0 = \mathcal{H}^{i+1}(K_0)[-1]$ on BE , and so

$$\bigoplus_i ({}^p\mathcal{H}^i K)[-i] = \bigoplus_i (\mathcal{H}^i K)[-i].$$

Each $R^i f_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell[-i]$ is pure of weight 0. In the proof of ([1], 5.4.5), the exact triangles

$$\tau_{<i} K_0 \longrightarrow \tau_{\leq i} K_0 \longrightarrow (\mathcal{H}^i K_0)[-i] \longrightarrow$$

would split geometrically, because $\text{Ext}^1((\mathcal{H}^i K)[-i], \tau_{<i} K)$ would have weights > 0 . We will see that this group is pure of weight 0, and in fact has 1 as a Frobenius eigenvalue. For simplicity, we denote $H^i(\mathcal{X}, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ by $H^i(\mathcal{X})$.

Let $\pi : BE \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ be the structural map; then $\pi \circ f = \text{id}$. Since E is connected, the sheaf $R^i f_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ is the inverse image of some sheaf on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$, namely $f^* R^i f_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$. By smooth base change, it is isomorphic to $\pi^* H^i(E)$ as a $\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{F}}_q/\mathbb{F}_q)$ -module. In particular, $R^0 f_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$, $R^1 f_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \cong \pi^* H^1(E)$ and $R^2 f_* \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)$. Then the exact triangle above becomes

$$\begin{aligned} i = 2 : \quad & \tau_{\leq 1} K_0 \longrightarrow K_0 \longrightarrow \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2] \longrightarrow \\ i = 1 : \quad & \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \longrightarrow \tau_{\leq 1} K_0 \longrightarrow \pi^* H^1(E)[-1] \longrightarrow. \end{aligned}$$

Apply $\text{Ext}^*(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], -)$ to the second triangle. One can compute $H^*(BE)$ by a theorem of Borel (see ([9], 7.2)): $H^{2i-1}(BE) = 0$, and $H^{2i}(BE) = \text{Sym}^i H^1(E)$. Let α and β be the eigenvalues of the Frobenius F on $H^1(E)$. We have

$$\text{Ext}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = \text{Ext}^3(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(1)) = H^3(BE)(1) = 0,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], \pi^* H^1(E)[-1]) &= H^2(BE) \otimes H^1(E)(1) \\ &= H^1(E) \otimes H^1(E)(1) = \text{End}(H^1(E)), \end{aligned}$$

which is 4-dimensional with eigenvalues $\alpha/\beta, \beta/\alpha, 1, 1$, and

$$\text{Ext}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = H^4(BE)(1),$$

which is 3-dimensional with eigenvalues $\alpha/\beta, \beta/\alpha, 1$. This implies that the kernel

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], \tau_{\leq 1} K) &= \\ \text{Ker} \Big(\text{Ext}^1(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], \pi^* H^1(E)[-1]) &\rightarrow \text{Ext}^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell(-1)[-2], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) \Big) \end{aligned}$$

is non-zero, pure of weight 0, and has 1 as a Frobenius eigenvalue. So the first exact triangle above does not necessarily (in fact does not, as the argument in the beginning shows) split geometrically. Also

$$Ext^1(\pi^* H^1(E)[-1], \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell) = Ext^2(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell, \pi^* H^1(E)^\vee) = H^1(E) \otimes H^1(E)^\vee = End(H^1(E))$$

is 4-dimensional and has eigenvalues $\alpha/\beta, \beta/\alpha, 1, 1$, hence the proof for the geometric splitting of the second exact triangle fails too.

In [5], Laszlo and Olsson generalized the theory of perverse sheaves to Artin stacks locally of finite type over some field. In [9], we proved that for Artin stacks of finite type over a finite field, with affine stabilizers (3.8), Deligne's upper bound of weights for the compactly supported cohomology groups still applies. In this paper, we will show that for such stacks, similar argument as in [1] gives the decomposition theorem. We state it as follows (see (1.3.3) for the notation).

Theorem 1.2. *Let $f : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$ be a proper morphism of finite diagonal between $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stacks of finite type with affine stabilizers (3.8), and let K_0 be an ι -pure $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -complex on $\mathcal{X}_0$. Then we have*

$$Rf_* K \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ({}^p R^i f_* K)[-i],$$

and each ${}^p R^i f_* K$ is a semi-simple perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{Y}$. Consequently, if K_0 is a semi-simple $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_0$, the conclusion above also holds.

Organization. In §2 we complete the proof of the structure theorem for ι -mixed sheaves on stacks, as claimed in ([9], 2.7.1). In §3, we generalize the decomposition theorem for perverse sheaves on stacks over finite fields, using weight theory. In the end, we mention the decomposition theorem for stacks over $\mathbb{C}$.

Notations and Conventions 1.3.

1.3.1. We fix an algebraic closure $\mathbb{F}$ of the finite field $\mathbb{F}_q$ with q elements. Let F or F_q be the q -geometric Frobenius, namely the q -th root automorphism on $\mathbb{F}$. Let ℓ be a prime number, $\ell \neq p$, and fix an embedding of fields $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell \xrightarrow{\iota} \mathbb{C}$. For $z \in \mathbb{C}$, let $w_q(z) = 2 \log_q |z|$.

1.3.2. For the definition of an Artin stack (or an algebraic stack), we refer to ([8], 1.2.22). We only consider algebraic stacks of finite type over the base.

1.3.3. Objects over $\mathbb{F}_q$ will be denoted with a subscript $_0$, and suppression of it means passing to $\mathbb{F}$ by extension of scalars. For instance, if K_0 is a $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -complex of sheaves on an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -Artin stack $\mathcal{X}_0$, then K denotes its inverse image on $\mathcal{X} := \mathcal{X}_0 \otimes_{\mathbb{F}_q} \mathbb{F}$. For $b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$, let $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^{(b)}$ be the lisse Weil sheaf of rank one on $\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$ corresponding to the character that sends F_q to b (see ([9], 2.4)).

1.3.4. For an algebraic stack X over a field k , we say it is *essentially smooth* if $(X_{\overline{k}})_{\text{red}}$ is smooth over $\overline{k}$.

1.3.5. For a map $f : X \rightarrow Y$ and a complex of sheaves K on Y , we sometimes write $H^n(X, K)$ for $H^n(X, f^* K)$.

1.3.6. We will denote $Rf_*, Rf_!, Lf^*$ and $Rf^!$ by $f_*, f_!, f^*$ and $f^!$ respectively. We use Hom and Ext (resp. $\mathcal{H}om$ and $\mathcal{E}xt$) for the global Hom and Ext (resp. sheaf Hom and Ext).

1.3.7. We will only consider the middle perversity ([1], 4.0). We use ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i$ and ${}^p\tau_{\leq i}$ to denote cohomology and truncations with respect to this perverse t -structure.

Acknowledgment.

I would like to thank my advisor Martin Olsson for introducing this topic to me, and giving so many suggestions during the writing. Yves Laszlo and Weizhe Zheng pointed out some mistakes and gave many helpful comments. Many people, especially Brian Conrad and Matthew Emerton, have helped to answer my questions related to this paper on mathoverflow. The revision of the paper was done during the stay in Ecole polytechnique CMLS (UMR 7640) and Université Paris-Sud (UMR 8628), while I was supported by ANR grant G-FIB.

2 The prototype: the structure theorem of ι -mixed sheaves on stacks

We generalize the structure theorem of ι -mixed sheaves ([4], 3.4.1) to stacks. This result has little to do with the rest of this paper (except in (3.4)), but it is the prototype of the corresponding results (e.g. weight filtrations and the decomposition theorem) for perverse sheaves. In this section, sheaves are understood as Weil sheaves. See ([9], 2.4.3) for the definitions of punctually ι -pure sheaves and ι -mixed sheaves.

Theorem 2.1. (stack version of ([4], 3.4.1)) *Let $\mathcal{X}_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stack.*

(i) *Every ι -mixed sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$ on $\mathcal{X}_0$ has a unique decomposition $\mathcal{F}_0 = \bigoplus_{b \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_0(b)$, called the decomposition according to the weights mod $\mathbb{Z}$, such that the punctual ι -weights of $\mathcal{F}_0(b)$ are all in the coset b . This decomposition, in which almost all the $\mathcal{F}_0(b)$'s are zero, is functorial in $\mathcal{F}_0$.*

(ii) *Every ι -mixed lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$ with integer punctual ι -weights on $\mathcal{X}_0$ has a unique finite increasing filtration W by lisse subsheaves, called the weight filtration, such that Gr_i^W is punctually ι -pure of weight i . Every morphism between such sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_0$ is strictly compatible with their weight filtrations.*

(iii) *If $\mathcal{X}_0$ is a normal algebraic stack (i.e. it has a normal presentation), and $\mathcal{F}_0$ is a lisse and punctually ι -pure sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_0$, then $\mathcal{F}$ on $\mathcal{X}$ is semi-simple.*

Proof. (i) and (ii) are proved in ([9], 2.7.1), where (iii) is claimed without giving a detailed proof. Here we complete the proof of (iii).

First of all, note that we may make a finite extension of the base field $\mathbb{F}_{q^v}/\mathbb{F}_q$. From the proof of ([5], 8.3), we see that if $\mathcal{U} \subset \mathcal{X}$ is an open substack, and $\mathcal{G}_{\mathcal{U}}$ is a lisse subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}$, then it extends to a unique lisse subsheaf $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{F}$. Applying the full-faithfulness in (*loc. cit.*) we see that if $\mathcal{F}|_{\mathcal{U}}$ is semi-simple, so also is $\mathcal{F}$. Therefore, we may shrink $\mathcal{X}$ to a dense open substack $\mathcal{U}$, and replace $\mathcal{X}_0$ by some model of $\mathcal{U}$ over a finite extension $\mathbb{F}_{q^v}$. We can then assume $\mathcal{X}_0$ is smooth and geometrically connected.

Following the proof ([4], 3.4.5), it suffices to show ([4], 3.4.3) for stacks. We claim that, if $\mathcal{F}_0$ is lisse and punctually ι -pure of weight w , then $H^1(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ is ι -mixed of weights $\geq 1 + w$. The conclusion follows from this claim.

Let $N = \dim \mathcal{X}_0$. By Poincaré duality, it suffices to show that, for every lisse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$, punctually ι -pure of weight w , $H_c^{2N-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F})$ is ι -mixed of weights $\leq 2N - 1 + w$. To show this, we may shrink $\mathcal{X}_0$ to assume that the inertia $\mathcal{I}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ is flat, with rigidification $\pi : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow X_0$ (cf. ([8], 1.5)). We have the spectral sequence

$$H_c^r(X, R^k \pi_! \mathcal{F}) \implies H_c^{r+k}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}),$$

so let $r + k = 2N - 1$. Note that k can only be of the form $-2i - 2d$, for $i \geq 0$, where $d = \text{rel. dim}(\mathcal{X}_0/\mathcal{X}_0)$. So we have $r = 2 \dim X_0 + 2i - 1$, and in order for $H_c^r(X, -)$ to be non-zero, $i = 0$. Then

$$H_c^{2N-1}(\mathcal{X}, \mathcal{F}) = H_c^{2 \dim X-1}(X, R^{-2d} \pi_! \mathcal{F}).$$

The claim follows from the fact that $R^{-2d} \pi_! \mathcal{F}_0$ is punctually ι -pure of weight $w - 2d$. To see this fact, it suffices to show that $H_c^{-2d}(BG, \mathcal{F})$ has weight $w - 2d$, for any $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic group G_0 of dimension d , and any lisse punctually ι -pure sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$ on BG_0 of weight w . By considering the Leray spectral sequence for the natural map $BG_0 \rightarrow B\pi_0(G_0)$, we reduce to the case where G_0 is connected, and this case is clear. See the proof of ([9], 1.4) for more details. $\square$

3 Decomposition theorem for stacks over $\mathbb{F}_q$

For an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}_0$, let $D_m(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be the full subcategory of mixed complexes in $D_c(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (see ([5], 9.1)). It is stable under the six operations ([9], 2.11, 2.12) and the perverse truncations ${}^p\tau_{\leq 0}$ and ${}^p\tau_{\geq 0}$. The latter can be checked smooth locally, and hence follows from ([1], 5.1.6). The core of $D_m(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ with respect to this induced perverse t -structure is called the category of *mixed perverse sheaves* on $\mathcal{X}_0$, as defined in ([5], 9.1). This is a Serre subcategory of all $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell$ -perverse sheaves $\text{Perv}(\mathcal{X}_0)$, i.e. it is closed under sub-quotients and extensions. For sub-quotients, see ([5], 9.3). For extensions, note that a short exact sequence of perverse sheaves is an exact triangle in D_c^b , and we may apply (the mixed variant of) ([9], 2.5 iii).

Nevertheless, in this paper, we will consider the more general notion of ι -mixed complexes and in particular, ι -mixed perverse sheaves. This weaker condition will be sufficient for the purpose of proving the decomposition theorem. In fact, Lafforgue has proved the conjecture of Deligne that, all (Weil) sheaves are ι -mixed, for any ι . See ([6], 1.3) and ([9], 2.8.1).

The following definition comes from ([4], 6.2.4).

Definition 3.1. Let $K_0 \in D_c(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ and $w \in \mathbb{R}$. We say that K_0 has ι -weights $\leq w$ if for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the punctual ι -weights of $\mathcal{H}^i K_0$ are $\leq i + w$, and we denote by $D_{\leq w}(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ the full subcategory of such complexes. We say that K_0 has ι -weights $\geq w$ if its Verdier dual DK_0 has ι -weights $\leq -w$, and denote by $D_{\geq w}(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ the subcategory of such complexes. We say that K_0 is ι -pure of weight w if it belongs to both $D_{\leq w}$ and $D_{\geq w}$.

Lemma 3.2. Let $P : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ be a presentation, and $K_0 \in D_c(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Then K_0 is ι -mixed of weights $\leq w$ (resp. $\geq w$) if and only if $P^* K_0$ (resp. $P^! K_0$) is so.

Proof. The two statements are dual to each other, so it suffices to consider only the case where K_0 has weights $\leq w$. The “only if” part is obvious, and the “if” part follows from ([9], 2.8) and the assumption that P is surjective. $\square$

Lemma 3.3. (stack version of ([1], 5.3.1, 5.3.2)) (i) For $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \text{Perv}_{\leq w}(\mathcal{X}_0)$ (resp. $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \text{Perv}_{\geq w}(\mathcal{X}_0)$), all of its sub-quotients are ι -mixed of weights $\leq w$ (resp. $\geq w$).

(ii) Let $j : \mathcal{U}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ be an immersion of algebraic stacks. Then for any real number w , the intermediate extension $j_{!*}$ ([5], 6) respects $\text{Perv}_{\geq w}$ and $\text{Perv}_{\leq w}$. In particular, if $\mathcal{F}_0$ is an ι -pure perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{U}_0$, then $j_{!*} \mathcal{F}_0$ is ι -pure of the same weight.

Proof. (i) Note that the variant for mixed perverse sheaves on stacks is given in ([5], 9.3). Recall that for a morphism $u : \mathcal{F}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{G}_0$ of perverse sheaves, with cone K_0 in $D_c^b(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$, we have $\text{Ker}(u) = {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-1} K_0$ and $\text{Coker}(u) = {}^p\mathcal{H}^0 K_0$. Let $P : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ be a presentation

of relative dimension d . Since $P^*[d]$ commutes with ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i$, we see that $P^*[d] : \text{Perv}(\mathcal{X}_0) \rightarrow \text{Perv}(X_0)$ is an exact functor. If $\mathcal{F}'_0$ is a sub-object (resp. quotient object) of $\mathcal{F}_0$, then $D\mathcal{F}'_0$ is a quotient object (resp. sub-object) of $D\mathcal{F}_0$, so by duality it suffices to prove the “ $\leq w$ ” part. This follows from the exactness of $P^*[d]$ and the ι -mixed variant of ([1], 5.3.1).

(ii) For a closed immersion i , we see that i_* respects $D_{\geq w}$ and $D_{\leq w}$, so we may assume that j is an open immersion. We only need to consider the case for $\text{Perv}_{\leq w}$, since the case for $\text{Perv}_{\geq w}$ follows from $j_{!*}D = Dj_{!*}$.

Let $P : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ be a presentation, and let the following diagram be 2-Cartesian:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} U_0 & \xrightarrow{j'} & X_0 \\ P' \downarrow & & \downarrow P \\ \mathcal{U}_0 & \xrightarrow{j} & \mathcal{X}_0. \end{array}$$

For $\mathcal{F}_0 \in \text{Perv}_{\leq w}(\mathcal{U}_0)$, by (3.2) it suffices to show that $P^*j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_0 \in D_{\leq w}(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Let d be the relative dimension of P . By ([5], 6.2) we have

$$P^*j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_0 = (P^*(j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_0)[d])[-d] = j'_{!*}(P'^*\mathcal{F}_0[d])[-d].$$

Since $P'^*\mathcal{F}_0 \in D_{\leq w}$, $P'^*\mathcal{F}_0[d] \in D_{\leq w+d}$, and by ([1], 5.3.2), $j'_{!*}(P'^*\mathcal{F}_0[d]) \in \text{Perv}_{\leq w+d}$, and by definition $P^*j_{!*}\mathcal{F}_0 \in D_{\leq w}$. $\square$

Corollary 3.4. (stack version of ([1], 5.3.4)) *Every simple perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$ on an algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}_0$ is ι -pure.*

Proof. By ([5], 8.2ii), $\mathcal{F}_0 \simeq j_{!*}L_0[d]$ for some essentially smooth irreducible substack $j : \mathcal{U}_0 \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ of dimension d , and a simple lisse sheaf L_0 on $\mathcal{U}_0$, which is punctually ι -pure by (2.1ii). The result follows from (3.3ii). $\square$

Theorem 3.5. (stack version of ([1], 5.4.1, 5.4.4)) *Let $K_0 \in D_c^b(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$. Then K_0 has ι -weights $\leq w$ (resp. $\geq w$) if and only if ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_0$ has ι -weights $\leq w+i$ (resp. $\geq w+i$), for each $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, K_0 is ι -pure of weight w if and only if each ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_0$ is ι -pure of weight $w+i$.*

Proof. The case of “ $\geq$ ” follows from the case of “ $\leq$ ” and ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i \circ D = D \circ {}^p\mathcal{H}^{-i}$. So we only need to show the case of “ $\leq$ ”.

Let $P : X_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{X}_0$ be a presentation of relative dimension d . Then K_0 has ι -weights $\leq w$ if and only if (3.2) P^*K_0 has ι -weights $\leq w$, if and only if ([1], 5.4.1) each ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(P^*K_0)$ has ι -weights $\leq w+i$. We have ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i(P^*K_0) = {}^p\mathcal{H}^i(P^*(K_0[-d])[d]) = P^*{}^p\mathcal{H}^i(K_0[-d])[d] = P^*({}^p\mathcal{H}^{i-d}K_0)[d]$, so $P^*({}^p\mathcal{H}^{i-d}K_0)$, and hence ${}^p\mathcal{H}^{i-d}K_0$, has ι -weights $\leq w+i-d$. $\square$

The category $\text{Perv}(\mathcal{X}_0)$ is artinian and noetherian ([5], 8.2i). By the *irreducible constituents* of a perverse sheaf we mean its Jordan-Hölder components.

Definition 3.6. Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be a perverse sheaf on an algebraic stack $\mathcal{X}_0$, and let $\beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$. We say that $\mathcal{F}_0$ has ι -weights in β , if all irreducible constituents of $\mathcal{F}_0$, which are ι -pure by (3.4), have ι -weights in the coset β (in the sense of (3.1)).

Now we give the perverse sheaf version of (2.1i, ii). For (ii), the variant for mixed perverse sheaves (which is the stack version of ([1], 5.3.5)) is given in ([5], 9.2).

Theorem 3.7. *Let $\mathcal{F}_0$ be a perverse sheaf on $\mathcal{X}_0$.*

(i) *$\mathcal{F}_0$ has a unique decomposition $\mathcal{F}_0 = \bigoplus_{\beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}} \mathcal{F}_0(\beta)$ into perverse subsheaves, called the decomposition according to the weights mod $\mathbb{Z}$, such that for each β , the ι -weights of $\mathcal{F}_0(\beta)$ are in β (in the sense of (3.6)). This decomposition, in which almost all the $\mathcal{F}_0(\beta)$'s are zero, is functorial in $\mathcal{F}_0$.*

(ii) *If the ι -weights of $\mathcal{F}_0$ are integers (3.6), then there exists a unique finite increasing filtration W of $\mathcal{F}_0$ by perverse subsheaves, called the weight filtration, such that $\mathrm{Gr}_i^W \mathcal{F}_0$ is ι -pure of weight i , for each i . Every morphism between such perverse sheaves on $\mathcal{X}_0$ is strictly compatible with their weight filtrations.*

Proof. (i) By descent theory ([5], 7.1) we reduce to the case where $\mathcal{X}_0 = X_0$ is a scheme. One can further replace X_0 by an open affine covering, and assume X_0 is separated.

Lemma 3.7.1. *Let K_0 and L_0 be ι -pure complexes in $D_c^b(X_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ of ι -weights w and w' , respectively, and assume $w - w' \notin \mathbb{Z}$. Then $\mathrm{Ext}^n(K_0, L_0) = 0$ for all n .*

Proof. By (3.5), ${}^p\tau_{\leq i} K_0$ and ${}^p\mathcal{H}^i K_0$ are ι -pure, of ι -weights w and $w+i$ respectively. Since $R\mathrm{Hom}(K_0, L_0)$ is a triangulated functor in both K_0 and L_0 , we may assume they are both perverse sheaves, and hence simple perverse sheaves (3.3i).

To show $\mathrm{Ext}^n(K_0, L_0) = 0$, it suffices to show, by ([1], 5.1.2.5), that the ι -weights of $\mathrm{Ext}^n(K, L)$ are not integers, for all n . Therefore, we may make a finite extension of the base field $\mathbb{F}_q$. By ([1], 4.3.1ii) we have $K_0 = j_{!*} F_0[d]$ (resp. $L_0 = i_{!*} G_0[d']$) for some irreducible smooth subscheme (since we can take a finite base extension) $j : U_0 \hookrightarrow X_0$ of dimension d (resp. $i : V_0 \hookrightarrow X_0$ of dimension d'), and for some irreducible lisse sheaf F_0 on U_0 (resp. G_0 on V_0). The sheaf $F_0 = j^* K_0[-d]$ is ι -mixed (or use Lafforgue's result), hence punctually ι -pure by ([4], 3.4.1ii), and therefore ι -pure by ([4], 6.2.5b). By ([1], 5.3.2), the punctual ι -weight of F_0 is $w - d$. By ([4], 1.3.6), there exists a number $b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$ such that the determinant of the lisse sheaf $F_0^{(b)}$ deduced from F_0 by twist (1.3.3) has finite order, therefore, by Lafforgue's result, $F_0^{(b)}$ is punctually pure of weight 0. The same is true for $G_0^{(b')}$ for some $b' \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell^*$. We see that $w_q(\iota b) = d - w$ and $w_q(\iota b') = d' - w'$.

Then we have

$$R\mathcal{H}om(K_0, L_0) = R\mathcal{H}om(j_{!*} F_0^{(b)}[d], i_{!*} G_0^{(b')}[d'])^{(b/b')}$$

and, by the projection formula,

$$a_* R\mathcal{H}om(K_0, L_0) = (a_* R\mathcal{H}om(j_{!*} F_0^{(b)}[d], i_{!*} G_0^{(b')}[d']))^{(b/b')},$$

where $a : X_0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} \mathbb{F}_q$ is the structural morphism. By ([4], 6.1.11), the complex $a_* R\mathcal{H}om(j_{!*} F_0^{(b)}[d], i_{!*} G_0^{(b')}[d'])$ is mixed, hence $\mathcal{H}^n(a_* R\mathcal{H}om(K_0, L_0))$, whose underlying vector space is $\mathrm{Ext}^n(K, L)$, does not have integer punctual ι -weights. $\square$

For every $\beta \in \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$, we apply ([1], 5.3.6) to $\mathrm{Perv}(X_0)$, taking S^+ (resp. S^-) to be the set of isomorphism classes of simple perverse sheaves (hence ι -pure) of ι -weights not in β (resp. in β). Then we get a unique sub-object $\mathcal{F}_0(\beta)$ with ι -weights in β (3.6), such that $\mathcal{F}_0/\mathcal{F}_0(\beta)$ has ι -weights not in β , and $\mathcal{F}_0(\beta)$ is functorial in $\mathcal{F}_0$. This extension splits since $\mathrm{Ext}^1 = 0$. By induction on length we get the decomposition, which is unique and functorial.

(ii) As in ([5], 9.2), we may assume $\mathcal{X}_0 = X_0$ is a scheme. The proof in ([1], 5.3.5) still applies. Namely, by (3.10ii), if $\mathcal{F}_0$ and $\mathcal{G}_0$ are ι -pure simple perverse sheaves on X_0 , of ι -weights f and g respectively, with $f > g$, then $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{G}_0, \mathcal{F}_0) = 0$. Then apply ([1], 5.3.6) for each integer i , by taking S^+ (resp. S^-) to be the set of isomorphism classes of ι -pure simple perverse sheaves on X_0 of ι -weights $> i$ (resp. $\leq i$). $\square$

3.8. Let k be a field and let $\mathcal{X}$ be a k -algebraic stack. We say that $\mathcal{X}$ has *affine stabilizers* if for every $x \in \mathcal{X}(\bar{k})$, the group scheme Aut_x is affine. Since being affine is fpqc local on the base, we see that for any finite field extension k'/k and any $x \in \mathcal{X}(k')$, the group scheme Aut_x over k' is affine.

Proposition 3.9. (stack version of ([1], 5.1.14)) (i) The Verdier dualizing functor $D_{\mathcal{X}_0}$ interchanges $D_{\leq w}$ and $D_{\geq -w}$.

(ii) For every morphism f of $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stacks, f^* respects $D_{\leq w}$ and $f^!$ respects $D_{\geq w}$.

(iii) For every morphism $f : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}_0$, where $\mathcal{X}_0$ is an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stack with affine stabilizers, $f_!$ respects $D_{\leq w}^-$ and f_* respects $D_{\geq w}^+$.

(iv) $\otimes$ takes $D_{\leq w}^- \times D_{\leq w'}^-$ into $D_{\leq w+w'}^-$.

(v) $R\mathcal{H}om$ takes $D_{\leq w}^- \times D_{\geq w'}^+$ into $D_{\geq w'-w}^+$.

Proof. (i), (ii) and (iv) are clear, and (v) follows from (iv). For (iii), if $\mathcal{X}_0$ has affine stabilizers, so are all fibers $f^{-1}(y)$, for $y \in \mathcal{Y}_0(\mathbb{F}_{q^v})$, and the claim for $f_!$ follows from the spectral sequence

$$H_c^i(f^{-1}(\bar{y}), \mathcal{H}^j K) \implies H_c^{i+j}(f^{-1}(\bar{y}), K)$$

and ([9], 1.4), and the claim for f_* follows from the claim for $f_!$. $\square$

Corollary 3.10. (stack version of ([1], 5.1.15)) Let $\mathcal{X}_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stack with affine stabilizers, with structural map $a : \mathcal{X}_0 \rightarrow \text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q$. Let K_0 (resp. L_0) be in $D_{\leq w}^-(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ (resp. $D_{\geq w}^+(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$) for some real number w . Then

(i) $a_* R\mathcal{H}om(K_0, L_0)$ is in $D_{\geq 0}^+(\text{Spec } \mathbb{F}_q, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$.

(ii) $\text{Ext}^i(K_0, L_0) = 0$ for $i > 0$.

If $L_0 \in D_{\geq w}^+$, then $a_* R\mathcal{H}om(K_0, L_0)$ is in $D_{\geq 0}^+$, and we have

(iii) $\text{Ext}^i(K, L)^F = 0$ for $i > 0$. Here F is the Frobenius (1.3.1). In particular, for $i > 0$, the canonical morphism $\text{Ext}^i(K_0, L_0) \rightarrow \text{Ext}^i(K, L)$ is zero.

The proof is the same as ([1], 5.1.15), using the above stability result for algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers.

The following is the perverse sheaf version of (2.1iii).

Theorem 3.11. (stack version of ([1], 5.3.8)) Let $\mathcal{X}_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stack with affine stabilizers. Then every ι -pure perverse sheaf $\mathcal{F}_0$ on $\mathcal{X}_0$ is geometrically semi-simple (i.e. $\mathcal{F}$ is semi-simple), hence $\mathcal{F}$ is a direct sum of perverse sheaves of the form $j_{!*} L[d_{\mathcal{U}}]$, for inclusions $j : \mathcal{U} \hookrightarrow \mathcal{X}$ of $d_{\mathcal{U}}$ -dimensional irreducible smooth substacks, and for irreducible lisse sheaves L on $\mathcal{U}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}'$ be the sum in $\mathcal{F}$ of simple perverse subsheaves; it is a direct sum, and is the largest semi-simple perverse subsheaf of $\mathcal{F}$. Then $\mathcal{F}'$ is stable under Frobenius, hence descends to a perverse subsheaf $\mathcal{F}'_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_0$ ([1], 5.1.2) holds for stacks also). Let $\mathcal{F}''_0 = \mathcal{F}_0 / \mathcal{F}'_0$. By (3.10iii), the extension

$$0 \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}' \longrightarrow \mathcal{F} \longrightarrow \mathcal{F}'' \longrightarrow 0$$

splits, because $\mathcal{F}'_0$ and $\mathcal{F}''_0$ have the same weight ([5], 9.3). Then $\mathcal{F}''$ must be zero, since otherwise it contains a simple perverse subsheaf, and this contradicts the maximality of $\mathcal{F}'$. Therefore $\mathcal{F} = \mathcal{F}'$ is semi-simple. The other claim follows from ([5], 8.2ii): we may replace $\mathcal{U}$ by $\mathcal{U}_{\text{red}}$ and hence assume that it is smooth. $\square$

Theorem 3.12. (stack version of ([1], 5.4.5)) Let $\mathcal{X}_0$ be an $\mathbb{F}_q$ -algebraic stack with affine stabilizers, and let $K_0 \in D_c^b(\mathcal{X}_0, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_\ell)$ be an ι -pure complex. Then K on $\mathcal{X}$ is isomorphic non-canonically to the direct sum of the shifted perverse cohomology sheaves $({}^p \mathcal{H}^i K)[-i]$.

Proof. By (3.5), both ${}^p\tau_{<i}K_0$ and $({}^p\mathcal{H}^iK_0)[-i]$ are ι -pure of the same weight as that of K_0 . Therefore, by (3.10iii), the exact triangle

$${}^p\tau_{<i}K_0 \longrightarrow {}^p\tau_{\leq i}K_0 \longrightarrow ({}^p\mathcal{H}^iK_0)[-i] \longrightarrow$$

geometrically splits, i.e. we have

$${}^p\tau_{\leq i}K \simeq {}^p\tau_{<i}K \oplus ({}^p\mathcal{H}^iK)[-i],$$

and the result follows by induction. $\square$

3.13. *Proof of theorem (1.2).* For the second claim, we may assume that K_0 is an irreducible perverse sheaf, hence is ι -pure (3.4), therefore it follows from the first one. For the first claim, by ([7], 5.17) and (3.9 iii), we see that f_*K_0 is ι -pure, hence by (3.12) we have

$$f_*K \simeq \bigoplus_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} ({}^pR^i f_*K)[-i].$$

By (3.5), each ${}^pR^i f_*K_0$ is also ι -pure, therefore it is geometrically semi-simple by (3.11).

Remark 3.14. In order to generalize the decomposition theorem to algebraic stacks over $\mathbb{C}$, one needs some foundational results, such as the generic base change theorem for f_* on stacks, the comparison between the adic derived category and the topological derived category of a $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic stack, and so on. We only give the statement of the decomposition theorem for $\mathbb{C}$ -stacks here, and we will publish the details of the proof somewhere else.

Theorem 3.15. (stack version of ([1], 6.2.5)) *Let $f : \mathcal{X} \rightarrow \mathcal{Y}$ be a proper morphism of finite diagonal between $\mathbb{C}$ -algebraic stacks with affine stabilizers. If $K \in \mathcal{D}_c^b(\mathcal{X}^{\text{an}}, \mathbb{C})$ is semi-simple of geometric origin, then $f_*^{\text{an}}K$ is also bounded, and is semi-simple of geometric origin on $\mathcal{Y}^{\text{an}}$.*

References

- [1] ALEXANDER A. BEILINSON, JOSEPH BERNSTEIN, PIERRE DELIGNE, *Faisceaux pervers*, Astérisque, 100, p.5-171, Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1982.
- [2] JOSEPH BERNSTEIN, VALERY LUNTS, *Equivariant sheaves and functors*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 1578, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1994.
- [3] MARK ANDREA DE CATALDO, LUCA MIGLIORINI, *The decomposition theorem, perverse sheaves and the topology of algebraic maps*, Bulletin of the AMS, Vol. 46, No. 4, Oct. 2009, p.535-633.
- [4] PIERRE DELIGNE, *La conjecture de Weil: II*, Publications Mathématiques de l'I.H.É.S., 52 (1980), p.137-252.
- [5] YVES LASZLO, MARTIN OLSSON, *Perverse sheaves on Artin stacks*, Math. Zeit. 261, (2009), 737-748.
- [6] GÉRARD LAUMON, *La correspondance de Langlands sur les corps de fonctions [d'après Laurent Lafforgue]*, Séminaire Bourbaki, 52ème année, 1999-2000, No.873.
- [7] MARTIN OLSSON, *Fujiwara's Theorem For Equivariant Correspondences*, available at <http://math.berkeley.edu/~molsson/>.

- [8] MARTIN OLSSON, *Compactifying Moduli Spaces for Abelian Varieties*, Springer Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 1958.
- [9] SHENGHAO SUN, *L-series of Artin stacks over Finite fields*, to appear in *Algebra and Number Theory*; available at [arXiv:1008.3689](https://arxiv.org/abs/1008.3689).